

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,295	12/11/2003	Klaus Hartig	44046.203.276.1	9947
22859 7590 04/30/2007 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4000			REDMAN, JERRY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/735,295	HARTIG, KLAUS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerry Redman	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims	-	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-22 is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b)  objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/2004.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/735,295

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I-claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 3/29/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden to the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the applicant clearly discloses and claims separate inventions, which would require a separate search. Furthermore, by the applicant's own admission of drafting of the claims and the specification, separate and distinct inventions have been presented.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

In summary:

Claims 15-22 are hereby withdrawn from consideration; and Claims 1-14 are herein addressed below.

The applicant's information disclosure statement dated 3/29/2004 has been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillery (4,235,048) in view of Finley (2002/0045073 A1). Gillery ('048) discloses a reversible window unit having reflective layers on an insulated glass mounted within a

Application/Control Number: 10/735,295

Art Unit: 3634

pivoting frame, which is mounted within a framed opening. Gillery ('048) fails to disclose a photocatalytic layer of titanium. Finley (2002/0045073 A1) discloses a photocatalytic layer of metal oxide titanium formed on a glass pane substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reversible window assembly of Gillery ('048) with a photocatalytic layer of titanium as taught by Finley (2002/0045073 A1) since a self-cleaning layer allows the window assembly to be easily cleaned by itself.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent application publications to Yamamoto et al., Azzopardi et al., Snaderson et al., Krisko et al., Tonar et al., and Myli et al. disclose coatings similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Cuomo, can be reached on 571-272-6835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,295

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634